



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/102390			

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1804	1012
DATE MAILED:	

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Dr. Skoultschi (3) MS. Coruzzi
(2) Mr. Ziska (4) Mr. Abrams

Date of interview 7/14/94

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☒ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description: pro copy of filed amendment, filed June 1, 1994

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: All pending

Identification of ~~prior~~ art discussed: Chappel, both PCT and patent (5,272,071).

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claims have been amended to track language in parent 4,320,069, filed Nov 26, 1989, and are therefore entitled to the benefit of the filing date of the parent application. Amendments are requested and are consistent with Chappel patent & ^{where} ~~they~~ argued that they are the senior party based on filing date.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

☐ Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action.

Suzanne Fisher
Examiner's Signature